as Trustee for C & B Unit Trust ABN 27 623 918 759

Our Ref: SK/8928/sk

22 March 2013

Transport Planning Town Planning Retail Studies

Empirica PO Box 524 FORTITUDE VALLEY QLD 4006

Attention:Matthew VolkEmail:MVolk@empirica.com.au

Dear Sir,

RE: PRESTONS SHOPPING CENTRE TRAFFIC ENGINEERING SERVICES

- 1. As requested, we are writing to respond to matters raised by Liverpool City Council in correspondence dated 14 March 2013 in relation to the above development. We have previously prepared a traffic report⁽¹⁾ which supported the planning proposal to rezone the site at Prestons to permit the development of a supermarket and specialty shops.
- 2. Traffic related matters raised by Council and our comments to these matters are set out below:-

Rezoning the development site, as a retail precinct could generate significant pedestrian movements across the Camden Valley Way/Corfield Road intersection. This has not been planned for. Hence, integrated land use/transport assessment is required on the suitability of the site for the requested rezoning.

The Traffic Report prepared by Colston Budd Hunt & Kafes Pty Ltd includes intersection performance analysis of the existing signalised Camden Valley Way/Corfield Road intersection. The intersection performance analysis is incomplete. In accordance with the RMS adopted practice for the assessment of major traffic generating developments, the intersection assessment should

⁽¹⁾ "Traffic Report for Proposed Shopping Centre, 1975-1985 Camden Valley Way, Prestons", March 2013, Colston Budd Hunt & Kafes Pty Ltd.

be carried out assessing traffic conditions, 10 years after the proposed rezoning and associated development has been occupied.

Council is currently assessing a DA for the B6 Precinct opposite the proposed development site, which includes the construction of a southern leg approach to the existing signalised "T" intersection (Refer DA-107/2013), and RMS has given in principle support for the southern leg approach. Hence the intersection performance needs to be revised to provide the projected level of service and any required attributable improvements to the proposed rezoning, for traffic conditions beyond 2023, which include the southern approach, to the existing "T" intersection.

- 3. With regards to pedestrian movements across the intersection of Camden Valley Way/Corfield Road, the intersection is a traffic signal controlled T-intersection, and in accordance with RMS requirements the intersection incorporates pedestrian crossing facilities across each approach leg to the intersection. The intersection design allows for a fourth leg (southern approach) to be constructed in the future in association with the development to the south of Camden Valley Way and the development of a proposed new service centre on the south eastern corner of the intersection of Camden Valley Way/Corfield Road. In a letter dated the 18 July 2012 the RMS advised that "in principle" approval has been granted to the design of the intersection incorporating the fourth leg.
- 4. The pedestrian facilities at the intersection provide convenient access across Camden Valley Way and Corfield Road linking to existing bus stops and public transport services on either side of Camden Valley Way. These pedestrian facilities are considered appropriate and will provide convenient pedestrian access to and from the site.
- 5. With regards to the operation of the intersection of Camden Valley Way/ Corfield Road, the intersection has been assessed taking into consideration the proposed fourth leg onto the intersection and the proposed future development to the south of Camden Valley Way, including the development of a proposed new service centre on the south eastern corner of the intersection.
- 6. The existing morning and afternoon peak period traffic flows through the intersection, including traffic generated by the proposed future development to the south of Camden Valley Way (provided by Varga Traffic Planning and determined in consultation with RMS) and the proposed development traffic generated by the proposed shopping centre, are shown on Figures I and 2.

- 7. The intersection of Camden Valley Way/Corfield Road has been analysed with SIDRA as a four-way intersection for the forecast traffic flows and additional development traffic shown in Figures 1 and 2.
- 8. The SIDRA program simulates the operation of intersections to provide a number of performance measures. The most useful measure provided is average delay per vehicle expressed in seconds per vehicle. Based on average delay per vehicle, SIDRA estimates the following levels of service (LOS):
 - For traffic signals, the average delay per vehicle in seconds is calculated as delay/(all vehicles), for roundabouts the average delay per vehicle in seconds is selected for the movement with the highest average delay per vehicle, equivalent to the following LOS:-

0 to 14	=	"A"	Good					
15 to 28	=	"B"	Good with minimal delays and spare capacity					
29 to 42	=	"C"	Satisfactory with spare capacity					
43 to 56	=	"D"	Satisfactory but operating near capacity					
57 to 70	=	"E"	At capacity and incidents will cause excessive delays. Roundabouts require other control					
			mode.					
>70	=	"F"	Unsatisfactory and requires additional capacity					

9. The results of the SIDRA analysis are shown in Table 1. Copies of the SIDRA output summaries are appended to this document.

	Average Delay (sec/veh)	Level of Service	95 th percentile queue length (vehicles) ⁽¹⁾
Existing Traffic Flows P	us Development to the South	of Camden	Valley Way
- Morning	42.1	D	35.5
- Afternoon	36.4	С	35.1
Existing Traffic Flows Pl the Subject Developme	lus Development to the South nt Traffic	of Camden	Valley Way and
- Morning	44.2	D	35.8
- Afternoon	38.2	6	35.6

(1) 95th percentile queue length (vehicles) for worst approach.

- 10. It can be seen from Table I, that the four-way intersection with the existing traffic flows and the additional development traffic south of Camden Valley Way, will operate with average delays of 42.1 seconds per vehicle during the morning and 36.4 seconds per vehicle during the afternoon peak periods. This represents levels of service D and C respectively, which are satisfactory levels of intersection operation.
- 11. The SIDRA analysis found that with the subject development traffic the intersection would operate with average delays of 44.2 seconds per vehicle during the morning and 38.2 seconds per vehicle during the afternoon peak periods. This represents levels of service D and C respectively, which are satisfactory levels of intersection operation.
- 12. To assess the operation of the intersection for 10 years in the future, we have increased through traffic flows along Camden Valley Way by two per cent compounded for 10 years and added the development traffic on top of this growth.
- 13. With the additional traffic from the proposed development to the south of Camden Valley Way and the subject site development traffic, the intersection would operate with average delays of 46.7 seconds per vehicle during the morning and 40.3 seconds per vehicle during the afternoon peak periods in 10 years time. This represents levels of service D and C respectively, which are satisfactory levels of intersection operation.
- 14. Hence, in summary, with the fourth leg, the intersection of Camden Valley Way/Corfield Road will operate satisfactorily with traffic from both developments both now and in 10 years time.
- 15. We trust the above provides the additional information you require. Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours faithfully, COLSTON BUDD HUNT & KAFES PTY LTD

Stan Kaps

<u>S. Kafes</u> Director



LEGEND

100 - Existing Peak Hour Traffic Flows plus Development Traffic South of Camden Valley Way (+10) - Additional Subject Development Traffic

Existing weekday morning peak hour traffic flows plus development traffic





LEGEND

100 - Existing Peak Hour Traffic Flows plus Development Traffic South of Camden Valley Way (+10) - Additional Subject Development Traffic

Existing weekday afternoon peak hour traffic flows plus development traffic

Camden Valley Way - Corfield Road Traffic Signals Four Way Intersection AM peak (2013) Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 130 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)

		Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Average
Mov ID	Turn	Flow	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		per veh	km/t
South: F	RoadName										
1	L	223	1.0	0.477	33.5	LOS C	8.2	58.2	0.90	0.81	31.2
2	Т	23	1.0	0.071	48.8	LOS D	1.2	8.7	0.87	0.63	24.7
3	R	200	1.0	0.641	63.1	LOS E	12.0	84.9	0.99	0.82	22.0
Approac	h	446	1.0	0.641	47.6	LOS D	12.0	84.9	0.93	0.81	26.0
East: Ca	mden Val	ley Way (east	t)								
4	L	44	2.0	0.072	31.0	LOS C	1.4	9.6	0.52	0.69	32.6
5	Т	821	2.0	0.544	29.4	LOS C	17.2	122.3	0.71	0.63	32.0
6	R	197	2.0	0.843	77.0	LOS F	13.1	92.9	1.00	1.08	19.3
Approac	h	1062	2.0	0.843	38.3	LOS C	17.2	122.3	0.76	0.71	28.5
North: C	orfield Ro	ad									
7	L	220	1.0	0.521	53.2	LOS D	13.3	93.9	0.91	0.83	24.4
8	Т	23	1.0	0.521	45.0	LOS D	13.3	93.9	0.91	0.77	24.7
9	R	182	1.0	0.584	62.5	LOS E	10.8	76.4	0.97	0.82	22.1
Approac	h	425	1.0	0.584	56.7	LOS E	13.3	93.9	0.94	0.82	23.4
West: Ca	amden Va	lley Way (wes	st)								
10	L	215	2.0	0.361	33.7	LOS C	7.6	54.2	0.60	0.75	31.4
11	Т	1266	2.0	0.838	37.5	LOS C	35.5	252.9	0.92	0.86	28.3
12	R	53	2.0	0.173	37.5	LOS C	2.0	14.4	0.64	0.75	29.6
Approac	h	1534	2.0	0.838	37.0	LOS C	35.5	252.9	0.86	0.84	28.7
All Vehic	les	3467	1.7	0.843	41.1	LOS C	35.5	252.9	0.85	0.79	27.5

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per ped
P1	Across S approach	126	32.6	LOS D	0.3	0.3	0.71	0.71
P3	Across E approach	126	59.1	LOS E	0.4	0.4	0.95	0.95
P5	Across N approach	126	29.8	LOS C	0.3	0.3	0.68	0.68
P7	Across W approach	126	59.1	LOS E	0.4	0.4	0.95	0.95
All Pede	estrians	504	45.2	LOS E			0.82	0.82



Camden Valley Way - Corfield Road Traffic Signals Four Way Intersection PM peak (2013) Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 130 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)

		Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back of	of Oueuro	Drop	Effective	A
Mov ID	Turn	Flow veh/h	HV %	Satn v/c	Delay	Service	Vehicles veh	Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed
South: F	RoadName	9					Ven			per veh	km/l
1	L	192	1.0	0.450	35.3	LOS C	7.4	52.3	0.90	0.80	30.4
2	Т	35	1.0	0.106	49.2	LOS D	1.9	13.2	0.88	0.65	24.6
3	R	79	1.0	0.253	58.9	LOS E	4.4	31.0	0.91	0.77	23.0
Approac	h	305	1.0	0.450	43.0	LOS D	7.4	52.3	0.90	0.78	27.4
East: Ca	mden Val	ley Way (east	t)								
4	L	177	2.0	0.283	30.5	LOS C	5.7	40.3	0.54	0.73	32.9
5	Т	1318	2.0	0.824	33.4	LOS C	35.1	249.8	0.89	0.82	29.9
6	R	134	2.0	0.535	45.5	LOS D	6.9	49.0	0.85	0.82	26.7
Approac	h	1628	2.0	0.824	34.1	LOS C	35.1	249.8	0.85	0.81	29.9
North: C	orfield Roa	ad									
7	L	99	1.0	0.347	55.8	LOS D	7.3	51.4	0.90	0.80	23.9
8	т	35	1.0	0.347	47.6	LOS D	7.3	51.4	0.90	0.00	23.9
9	R	121	1.0	0.388	60.3	LOS E	6.9	48.8	0.94	0.79	24.2
Approacl	h	255	1.0	0.388	56.8	LOS E	7.3	51.4	0.92	0.79	23.3
Nest: Ca	mden Val	ley Way (wes	it)								
10	L	126	2.0	0.200	29.8	LOS C	3.9	27.6	0.52	0.71	33.2
11	Т	869	2.0	0.544	26.8	LOS B	17.4	123.9	0.68	0.60	33.2
12	R	153	2.0	0.801	74.6	LOS F	10.1	72.1	1.00	1.03	33.3 19.7
Approach	1	1148	2.0	0.801	33.5	LOS C	17.4	123.9	0.71	0.67	30.5
All Vehicl	es	3337	1.8	0.824	36.4	LOS C	35.1	249.8	0.81	0.76	29.2

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Mov ID	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate
P1	Across S approach	126	30.5	LOS D	0.3	0.3	0.68	0.68
P3	Across E approach	126	59.1	LOS E	0.4	0.4	0.95	0.95
P5	Across N approach	126	27.8	LOS C	0.3	0.3	0.65	0.65
P7	Across W approach	126	59.1	LOS E	0.4	0.4	0.95	0.95
All Pede	estrians	504	44.1	LOS E			0.81	0.81



Traffic Signals Four Way Intersection AM peak (2013) + Dev Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Movement Performance - Vehicles 95% Back of Queue Demand Deg Average Level of Effective Prop. Average Mov ID Turn HV Flow Delay Service Vehicles Distance Queued Stop Rate Speed veh/h sec veh per veh km/h South: RoadName 223 1.0 0.434 1 L 33.6 LOS C 8.6 60.4 0.87 0.81 31.2 2 Т 23 1.0 0.076 54.1 LOS D 0.88 1.3 9.5 0.64 23.3 3 R 200 10 0.690 69.7 LOS E 13.3 93.6 1.00 0.84 20.6 Approach 446 1.0 0.690 50.8 LOS D 13.3 93.6 0.93 0.81 25.0 East: Camden Valley Way (east) 4 L 44 2.0 0.076 32.7 LOS C 15 10.3 0.51 0.69 31.8 2.0 5 Т 795 0.525 31.2 LOS C 17.6 125.4 0.70 0.62 31.2 6 R 244 2.0 75.0 0.803 LOS F 16.0 114.2 0.99 1.08 19.6 Approach 1083 2.0 0.803 41.1 LOS C 17.6 125.4 0.76 0.72 27.5 North: Corfield Road 267 7 10 0 610 56.9 LOS E L 17.4 123.0 0.93 0.84 23.4 8 Т 23 1.0 0.610 48.7 LOS D 17.4 123.0 0.93 0.80 23.7 9 R 229 1.0 0.792 73.8 LOS F 16.0 113.2 1.00 0.89 19.9 Approach 520 1.0 0.792 64.0 LOS E 17.4 123.0 0.96 0.86 21.7 West: Camden Valley Way (west) 10 L 215 2.0 35.6 0.379 LOS C 8.2 58.1 0.60 0.75 30.6 11 Т 1240 2.0 0.820 38.1 LOS C 35.8 255.1 0.90 0.83 28.1 12 R 53 2.0 0.140 34.0 LOS C 1.9 13.6 0.57 0.74 31.1 Approach 1507 2.0 0.820 37.6 LOS C 35.8 255.1 0.85 0.81 28.5 All Vehicles 3557 1.7 0.820 44.2 LOS D 35.8 255.1 0.85 0.79 26.6

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per ped
P1	Across S approach	126	34.3	LOS D	0.4	0.4	0.70	0.70
P3	Across E approach	126	64.1	LOS F	0.5	0.5	0.96	0.96
P5	Across N approach	126	31.6	LOS D	0.3	0.3	0.67	0.67
P7	Across W approach	126	64.1	LOS F	0.5	0.5	0.96	0.96
All Pede	estrians	504	48.5	LOS E			0.82	0.82



Traffic Signals Four Way Intersection PM peak (2013) + Dev

Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

		Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Average
Mov ID	Turn	Flow	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Speed
0 11 1		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		per veh	km/t
South: F	RoadName										
1	L	192	1.0	0.441	37.4	LOS C	8.0	56.7	0.90	0.80	29.6
2	Т	35	1.0	0.114	54.6	LOS D	2.0	14.4	0.89	0.66	23.2
3	R	79	1.0	0.272	64.5	LOS E	4.8	33.9	0.92	0.77	21.7
Approac	ch	305	1.0	0.441	46.3	LOS D	8.0	56.7	0.90	0.78	26.3
East: Ca	amden Val	ley Way (east	t)								
4	L	177	2.0	0.284	29.9	LOS C	5.7	40.6	0.51	0.72	33.2
5	Т	1265	2.0	0.754	30.1	LOS C	31.8	226.6	0.81	0.73	31.5
6	R	228	2.0	0.764	55.0	LOS D	14.3	101.5	0.93	0.98	23.9
Approac	h	1671	2.0	0.764	33.5	LOS C	31.8	226.6	0.79	0.76	30.3
North: C	orfield Ro	ad									
7	L	194	1.0	0.569	60.8	LOS E	14.0	98.7	0.95	0.83	22.6
8	Т	35	1.0	0.569	52.6	LOS D	14.0	98.7	0.95	0.79	22.8
9	R	216	1.0	0.745	71.5	LOS F	14.7	103.6	1.00	0.86	20.3
Approac	h	444	1.0	0.745	65.4	LOS E	14.7	103.6	0.97	0.84	20.0
West: Ca	amden Val	lley Way (wes	t)								
10	L	126	2.0	0.201	29.2	LOS C	3.9	27.8	0.49	0.71	33.5
11	Т	817	2.0	0.487	25.6	LOS B	16.1	114.3	0.63	0.55	34.0
12	R	153	2.0	0.675	68.8	LOS E	9.8	70.0	0.96	0.97	20.8
Approac	h	1096	2.0	0.675	32.0	LOS C	16.1	114.3	0.66	0.63	31.2
All Vehic	les	3516	1.8	0.764	38.2	LOS C	31.8	226.6	0.78	0.73	28.7

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per ped
P1	Across S approach	126	30.2	LOS D	0.3	0.3	0.66	0.66
P3	Across E approach	126	64.1	LOS F	0.5	0.5	0.96	0.96
P5	Across N approach	126	27.7	LOS C	0.3	0.3	0.63	0.63
P7	Across W approach	126	64.1	LOS F	0.5	0.5	0.96	0.96
All Pede	estrians	504	46.5	LOS E			0.80	0.80



Traffic Signals Four Way Intersection AM peak (2013) + Dev + 10 Years Growth

Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

		Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back of	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Average
Mov ID	Turn	Flow	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		per veh	km/ł
South: F	RoadName							1.000			
1	L	223	1.0	0.465	38.0	LOS C	9.8	69.4	0.89	0.81	29.3
2	Т	23	1.0	0.081	59.4	LOS E	1.5	10.3	0.90	0.65	22.1
3	R	200	1.0	0.740	76.8	LOS F	14.6	102.8	1.00	0.86	19.3
Approac	ch	446	1.0	0.740	56.5	LOS D	14.6	102.8	0.94	0.82	23.5
East: Ca	amden Val	ley Way (east	t)								
4	L	44	2.0	0.073	29.8	LOS C	1.4	9.8	0.46	0.68	33.2
5	Т	969	2.0	0.581	29.2	LOS C	22.2	158.0	0.68	0.61	32.1
6	R	244	2.0	0.884	90.4	LOS F	18.7	133.0	1.00	1.13	17.2
Approac	h	1258	2.0	0.884	41.1	LOS C	22.2	158.0	0.74	0.71	27.5
North: C	orfield Ro	ad									
7	L	267	1.0	0.654	62.9	LOS E	19.1	135.0	0.96	0.85	22.0
8	т	23	1.0	0.654	54.7	LOS D	19.1	135.0	0.96	0.82	22.2
9	R	229	1.0	0.848	83.4	LOS F	17.9	126.3	1.00	0.92	18.3
Approac	h	520	1.0	0.848	71.6	LOS F	19.1	135.0	0.98	0.88	20.2
West: Ca	amden Va	lley Way (wes	st)								
10	L	215	2.0	0.365	32.3	LOS C	7.7	55.0	0.53	0.73	32.0
11	т	1513	2.0	0.907	45.1	LOS D	53.9	383.9	0.96	0.95	25.7
12	R	53	2.0	0.157	36.9	LOS C	2.1	15.1	0.58	0.74	29.8
Approac	h	1780	2.0	0.907	43.4	LOS D	53.9	383.9	0.90	0.91	26.4
All Vehic	les	4004	1.8	0.907	47.8	LOS D	53.9	383.9	0.86	0.84	25.4

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

M 115	Dennisti	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
Mov ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate per ped
P1	Across S approach	126	32.0	LOS D	0.4	0.4	0.65	0.65
P3	Across E approach	126	69.1	LOS F	0.5	0.5	0.96	0.96
P5	Across N approach	126	29.5	LOS C	0.3	0.3	0.63	0.63
P7	Across W approach	126	69.1	LOS F	0.5	0.5	0.96	0.96
All Ped	estrians	504	49.9	LOS E			0.80	0.80

Traffic Signals Four Way Intersection PM peak (2013) + Dev + 10 Years

Signals - Fixed Time Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

		Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Average
Mov ID	Turn	Flow	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		per veh	km/ł
South: F	RoadNam	е									
1	L	192	1.0	0.458	41.2	LOS C	9.0	63.3	0.91	0.80	28.1
2	т	35	1.0	0.122	59.9	LOS E	2.2	15.6	0.90	0.67	21.9
3	R	79	1.0	0.292	70.0	LOS E	5.2	36.7	0.93	0.77	20.6
Approac	ch	305	1.0	0.458	50.8	LOS D	9.0	63.3	0.92	0.78	24.9
East: Ca	amden Va	lley Way (east	:)								
4	L	177	2.0	0.277	27.9	LOS B	5.5	39.1	0.46	0.71	34.2
5	Т	1543	2.0	0.859	33.1	LOS C	46.7	332.8	0.88	0.82	30.1
6	R	228	2.0	0.844	71.7	LOS F	17.2	122.1	0.97	1.08	20.2
Approac	h	1948	2.0	0.859	37.1	LOS C	46.7	332.8	0.85	0.84	28.8
North: C	orfield Ro	ad									
7	L	194	1.0	0.606	66.6	LOS E	15.2	107.6	0.96	0.83	21.3
8	Т	35	1.0	0.606	58.4	LOS E	15.2	107.6	0.96	0.81	21.4
9	R	216	1.0	0.798	79.6	LOS F	16.2	114.4	1.00	0.89	18.9
Approac	h	444	1.0	0.798	72.3	LOS F	16.2	114.4	0.98	0.86	20.0
West: Ca	amden Va	lley Way (wes	it)								
10	L	126	2.0	0.196	27.3	LOS B	3.8	26.8	0.44	0.70	34.5
11	т	997	2.0	0.555	24.8	LOS B	20.7	147.2	0.62	0.55	34.4
12	R	153	2.0	0.701	77.9	LOS F	10.8	77.0	0.98	1.00	19.1
Approac	h	1276	2.0	0.701	31.4	LOS C	20.7	147.2	0.65	0.62	31.4
All Vehic	les	3974	1.8	0.859	40.3	LOS C	46.7	332.8	0.81	0.77	27.8

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model used.

Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back of Queue		Prop.	Effective
					Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate per ped
P1	Across S approach	126	28.8	LOS C	0.3	0.3	0.62	0.62
P3	Across E approach	126	69.1	LOS F	0.5	0.5	0.96	0.96
P5	Across N approach	126	26.4	LOS C	0.3	0.3	0.59	0.59
P7	Across W approach	126	69.1	LOS F	0.5	0.5	0.96	0.96
All Pedestrians		504	48.4	LOS E			0.78	0.78

